

Le dépistage du cancer du sein

Forum Med Suisse. 2012;12(10):218–20.

Früherfassung von Brustkrebs

Schweiz Med Forum. 2012;12(10):218–20.

Références / Literatur

- 1 U. S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for breast cancer: systematic evidence review update for the U. S. Preventive Services Task Force. AHRQ Publication No. 10–05142–EF-1, Novembre 2009.
- 2 Tabar L, Duffy SW, Vitak B, et al. The natural history of breast carcinoma: what have we learned from screening? *Cancer* 1999;86:449–462.
- 3 Griffin JL, Pearlman MD. Breast cancer screening in women at average risk and high risk. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;116(6):1410–21.
- 4 Sikles EA. The use of breast imaging to screen women at high risk for cancer. *Radiol Clin N Am* 2010;48:859–78.
- 5 Gøtzsche PC, Olsen O. Is screening for breast cancer with mammography justifiable? *Lancet* 2000;355(9198):129–34.
- 6 Bouchardy C, Raymond L, de Wolf C, et al. Prise de position en faveur du dépistage mammographique du cancer du sein en Suisse. *Bull Med Suisses* 2001;82:655–61.
- 7 International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC handbooks of cancer prevention, vol. 7, Breast cancer screening. Lyon: IARCpress, 2002.
- 8 Autier P, Boniol M, Gavin A, Vatten LJ. Breast cancer mortality in neighbouring European countries with different levels of screening but similar access to treatment: trend analysis of WHO mortality database. *BMJ*. 2011 Jul 28;343:d4411. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d4411.
- 9 Jørgensen KJ, Gøtzsche PC. Overdiagnosis in publicly organised mammography screening programmes: systematic review of incidence trends. *BMJ*. 2009 Jul 9;339:b2587. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2587.
- 10 Zahl PH, Gøtzsche PC, Mæhlen J. Natural history of breast cancers detected in the Swedish mammography screening programme: a cohort study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011 Nov;12(12):1118–24.
- 11 Semiglazov VF, Manikhas AG, Moiseenko VM, et al. Results of a prospective randomized investigation [Russia (St.Petersburg)/WHO] to evaluate the significance of self-examination for the early detection of breast cancer. *Voprasy Onkologii* 2003;49(4):434–41.
- 12 Gao DL, Hu YW, Wang WW, et al. Evaluation on the effect of intervention regarding breast self-examination for decreasing breast cancer mortality. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2006;27(11):985–90.
- 13 Miller AB, To T, Baines CJ, et al. Canadian National Breast Screening Study-2: 13-year results of a randomized trial in women aged 50–59 years. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92(18):1490–9.
- 14 Pisani P, Parkin DM, Ngelangel C, et al. Outcome of screening by clinical examination of the breast in a trial in the Philippines. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118(1):149–54.
- 15 Köster JP, Gøtzsche PC. Regular self-examination or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews* 2008, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003373
- 16 Baxter N, Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Preventive health care 2001 update: should women be routinely taught breast self-examination to screen for breast cancer? *CMAJ*. 2001;164(13):1837–1846.
- 17 Smith RA, Cokkinides V, Brooks D, et al. Cancer screening in the United States, 2011: A review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and issues in cancer screening. *CA: Cancer J Clin* 2011;61(1):8–30.
- 18 Ligue Suisse contre le Cancer. Programme de prévention du cancer du sein: position de la Ligue suisse contre le cancer 2010.
- 19 Barton MB, Harris R, Fletcher SW. The rational clinical examination. Does this patient have breast cancer? The screening clinical breast examination: should it be done? How? *JAMA* 1999;282(13):1270–1280.
- 20 Bobo JK, Lee NC, Thames SF. Findings from 752'081 clinical breast examinations reported to a national screening program from 1995 through 1998. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92(12):971–6.
- 21 Jatoi I. Screening clinical breast examination. *Surg Clin North Am* 2003;83(4):789–801.

- 22 Thistlethwaite J, Stewart RA. Clinical breast examination for asymptomatic women exploring the evidence. *Aust Fam Physician* 2007;36(3):145–50.
- 23 Fenton JJ, Rolnick SJ, Harris EL, et al. Specificity of clinical breast examination in community practice. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2007;22(3):332–7.
- 24 Chiarelli AM, Majpruz V, Brown P, et al. The contribution of clinical breast examination to the accuracy of breast screening. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2009;101(18):1236–43.
- 25 Wishart GC, Warwick J, Pitsinis V, et al. Measuring performance in clinical breast examination. *Br J Surg.* 2010;97(8):1246–52.
- 26 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynecologists. Number 42, April 2003. Breast cancer screening. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;101(4):821–31.
- 27 Soo MS, Rosen EL, Baker JA, et al. Negative predictive value of sonography with mammography in patients with palpable breast lesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;177:1167–70.
- 28 Beyer T, Moonka R. Normal mammography and ultrasonography in the setting of palpable breast cancer. *Am J Surg.* 2003;185(5):416–9.
- 29 Ligue Suisse contre le Cancer. Unis contre le cancer du sein. Les réponses aux questions essentielles. 2010/7.10/15 000 F/2408.
- 30 Yankaskas BC, Klabunde CN, Ancelle-Park R, et al International comparison of performance measures for screening mammography: can it be done? *J Med Screen* 2004;11:187–93.
- 31 Sikles EA. The use of breast imaging to screen women at high risk for cancer. *Radiol Clin N Am* 2010;48:859–78.
- 32 Klabunde C, Bouchard F, Taplin S, et al. Quality assurance for screening mammography: an international comparison. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;55:204–12.
- 33 Kerlikowske K, Grady D, Barclay J, et al. Effect of age, breast density, and family history on the sensitivity of first screening mammography. *JAMA* 1996;276(1):33–8.
- 34 Rosenberg RD, Hunt WC, Williamson MR, et al. Effects of age, breast density, ethnicity, and estrogen replacement therapy on screening mammographic sensitivity and cancer stage at diagnosis: review of 183,134 screening mammograms in Albuquerque, New Mexico. *Radiology* 1998;209(2):511–8.
- 35 Ohuchi N, Ishida T, Kawai M, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial on Effectiveness of Ultrasonography Screening for Breast Cancer in Women Aged 40–49 (J-START): Research Design. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2011;41(2):275–7.
- 36 Berg WA. Tailored supplemental screening for breast cancer: what now and what next? *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;192(2):390–9.
- 37 Pisano ED, Hendrick RE, Yaffe MJ, Baum JK, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of digital versus film mammography: exploratory analysis of selected population subgroups in DMIST. *Radiology* 2008;246(2):376–83.
- 38 Wald NJ, Murphy P, Major P, et al. UKCCCR multicentre randomised controlled trial of one and two view mammography in breast cancer screening. *BMJ* 1995;311(7014):1189–93.
- 39 Hackshaw AK, Wald NJ, Michell MJ, et al. An investigation into why two-view mammography is better than one-view in breast cancer screening. *Clin Radiol* 2000;55(6):454–8.
- 40 Hakama M, Coleman MP, Alexe DM, et al. Cancer screening: evidence and practice in Europe 2008. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44(10):1404–13.
- 41 Seigneurin A, Exbrayat C, Labarère J, et al. Comparison of interval breast cancer rates for two-versus single-view screening mammography: a population-based study. *Breast.* 2009 Oct;18(5):284–8.
- 42 Taplin SH, Rutter CM, Finder C, et al. Screening mammography: clinical image quality and the risk of interval breast cancer. *Am J Roentgenol* 2002;178(4):797–803.
- 43 Birdwell RL, Ikeda DM, O’Shaughnessy KF, Sickles EA. Mammographic characteristics of 115 missed cancers later detected with screening mammography and the potential utility of computer-aided detection. *Radiology* 2001 Apr;219(1):192–202.
- 44 Warren RML, Duffy SW. Comparison of single reading with double reading of mammograms, and change in effectiveness with experience. *Br J Radiology* 1995;68:958–62.
- 45 Antinen I, Palmilo M, Soiva M, et al. Double reading of mammography screening films – one radiologist or two? *Clin Radiol* 1994;49(8):584–5.
- 46 Perry N, Broeders M, de Wolf C, et al. European Guidelines for quality assurance in breast cancer screening and diagnosis. Fourth edition 2006;188–99.
- 47 Elmore JG, Armstrong K, Lehman CD, et al. Screening for breast cancer. *JAMA* 2005;293(10):1245–56.
- 48 Ordonnance fédérale sur les prestations de l’assurance des soins 832.112.31 du 29/09/1995, article 12°.
- 49 Ordonnance fédérale sur la garantie de la qualité des programmes de dépistage du cancer du sein réalisé par mammographie 832.102.4 du 23/06/1999.
- 50 Bulliard J-L, Ducros C, Dayer E, Arzel B, Levi F. Variation in performance in low-volume mammography screening programmes: Experience from Switzerland. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2011; 35: 293–7.)
- 51 Perry N, Broeders M, de Wolf C, et al. European Guidelines for quality assurance in breast cancer screening and diagnosis. Fourth edition 2006;381–94.
- 52 Yen MF, Tabar L, Vitak B, et al. Quantifying the potential problem of overdiagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ in breast cancer screening. *Eur J Cancer* 2003;39(12):1746–54.
- 53 Duffy SW, Agbaje O, Tabar L, et al. Overdiagnosis and overtreatment of breast cancer: estimates of overdiagnosis from two trials of mammographic screening for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7(6):258–65.
- 54 Rothenberg LN, Feig SA, Haus AG, et al. A guide to mammography and other breast imaging procedures: NCRP report n0 149. Bethesda (MD): National Council of radiation protection and measurements; 2004 p 252–66.
- 55 Kolb TM, Lichy J, Newhouse JH. Comparison of the performance of screening mammography, physical examination, and breast US and evaluation of factors that influence them: an analysis of 27,825 patient evaluations. *Radiology* 2002;225(1):165–75.
- 56 Crystal P, Strano SD, Shcharynski S, et al. Using sonography to screen women with mammographically dense breasts. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2003;181(1):177–82.
- 57 Uchida K, Yamashita A, Kawase K, et al. Screening ultrasonography revealed 15% of mammographically occult breast cancers. *Breast Cancer* 2008;15(2):165–8.
- 58 Berg WA. Rationale for a trial of screening breast ultrasound: American College of Radiology Imaging Network (ACRIN) 6666. *AJR* 2003;180(5):1225–8.

- 59 Berg WA, Blume JD, Cormack JB, et al. Combined screening with ultrasound and mammography vs mammography alone in women at elevated risk of breast cancer. *JAMA* 2008;299:2151–63.
- 60 Kriege M, Brekelmans CT, Boetes C, et al. Efficacy of MRI and mammography for breast-cancer screening in women with a familial or genetic predisposition. *NEJM* 2004;351:427–37.
- 61 Leach MO, Boggis CR, Dixon AK, et al. Screening with magnetic resonance imaging and mammography of a UK population at high familial risk of breast cancer: a prospective multicentre cohort study (MARIBS). *Lancet* 2005;365:1769–78
- 62 Lehman CD, Blume JD, Weatherall P, et al. Screening women at high risk for breast cancer with mammography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Cancer* 2005;103:1898–905.
- 63 Kuhl CK, Schrading S, Leutner CC, et al. Mammography, breast ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging for surveillance of women at high familial risk for breast cancer. *JCO* 2005;23:8469–76.
- 64 Sardanelli F, Podo F, D'Agno G, et al. Multicenter comparative multimodality surveillance of women at genetic-familial high risk for breast cancer (HIBCRIT study): interim results. *Radiology* 2007;242:698–715.
- 65 Lord SJ, Lei W, Craft P, et al. Systematic review of the effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an addition to mammography and ultrasound in screening young women at high risk of breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007;43(13):1905–17.
- 66 Claus EB, Risch N, Thompson WD. Autosomal dominant inheritance of early-onset breast cancer. Implications for risk prediction. *Cancer* 1994;73:643–51.
- 67 Gail MH, Brinton LA, Byar DP, et al. Projecting individualized probabilities of developing breast cancer for white females who are being examined annually. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1989;81:1979–86.
- 68 Tyrer J, Duffy SW, Cuzick J. A breast cancer prediction model incorporating familial and personal risk factors. *Stat Med* 2004;23:1111–30.
- 69 Berry DA, Iversen ES Jr, Gudbjartsson DF, et al. BRCAPRO validation, sensitivity of genetic testing of BRCA1/BRCA2, and prevalence of other breast cancer susceptibility genes. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:2701–12.
- 70 Antoniou AC, Pharoah PP, Smith P, Easton DF. The BOADICEA model of genetic susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2004;91:1580–90.
- 71 Chapuis O. Un dépistage du cancer du sein différent de celui de la population générale: pour quelles femmes et avec quelles mesures? *Rev Med Suisse* 2006;2:1296–305.
- 72 Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;57:75–89.